



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,644	08/19/2003	Roberta J. Cochrane	ARC920030030US1	5236
29154	7590	12/23/2008		
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III Gibb Intellectual Property Law Firm, LLC 2568-A RIVA ROAD SUITE 304 ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401			EXAMINER SAEED, USMAAN	
			ART UNIT 2166	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/23/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/643,644	Applicant(s) COCHRANE ET AL.	
	Examiner USMAAN SAEED	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:

(1) Usmaan Saeed (PTO).

(2) Peter A. Balnave, Reg No. 46,199.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative to correct specifications filed on 8/21/2007. Examiner pointed to the applicant that the specifications are only upto paragraph 0041 and asked where to include paragraph 0046 provided on 8/21/2007. Applicant response was to delete the paragraph 0046 provided on 8/21/2007. Further examiner asked to delete the references provided at the end of the specification and applicant agreed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hosain T Alam/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

